

1  
2  
3  
4 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
5 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**  
6

7 EDGAR ORTIZ,

8 Plaintiff,

9 vs.

10 RELIANCE STANDARD LIFE INSURANCE  
11 COMPANY,

12 Defendant.

Case No. 2:17-cv-00580-JAD-GWF

**ORDER**

13 This matter is before the Court on the parties' Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order (ECF No.  
14 19), filed on July 11, 2017.

15 Discovery plans requesting longer than 180 days from the date the first defendant answers or  
16 appears require special scheduling review. LR 26-1(a) is explicit:

17 If longer deadlines are proposed, the plan must state on its face  
18 "SPECIAL SCHEDULING REVIEW REQUESTED." Plans requesting  
19 special scheduling review must include, in addition to the information  
20 required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) and LR 26-1(b), a statement of the  
21 reasons why longer or different time periods should apply to the case ...

22 The parties' discovery plan requests a 277-day discovery period but does not provide an  
23 explanation for the longer period as required by LR 26-1(a). Accordingly,

24 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the parties' Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order (ECF  
25 No. 19) is **denied** without prejudice. The parties shall submit a revised Discovery Plan and  
26 Scheduling Order no later than **July 19, 2017**.

27 DATED this 12th day of July, 2017.

28   
\_\_\_\_\_  
GEORGE FOLEY, JR.  
United States Magistrate Judge